

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/659,690		09/10/2003	Thomas Falone	IGC-PT004.3	7533	
3624	7590	05/04/2004		EXAMINER		
VOLPE A		•	GRAHAM, MARK S			
UNITED PLAZA, SUITE 1600 30 SOUTH 17TH STREET				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103				3711		

DATE MAILED: 05/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	_				
		10/659,690	FALONE ET AL.					
Office Action Summ	ary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Mark S. Graham	3711					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) Responsive to communicatio	n(s) filed on	_•						
2a) This action is FINAL .		action is non-final.						
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) in 11) The oath or declaration is objection.			s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). fice Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) ☐ Interview Sumr	nany (PTO-413)					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO 		Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/10/03</u> . 6) Uniter:								

The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because the subject matter of claims 3 and 6 added to the application as of 9/10/03 is not entitled to any earlier filing date and the declaration is a copy of that in the parent application dated 8/27/01.

If applicant intends to pursue the subject matter of claims 3 and 6 it must be by way of the instant application being changed from a continuation application to a continuation-in-part application.

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hatjasalo et al. (Hatjasalo).

Hatjasalo discloses a material of the construction claimed. Hatjasalo's knitted inner layer is considered an open mesh. As noted at the to of Col. 5 the material may be used as a protective bandage which is a tape. Such a bandage/tape is capable of being used in all of the recited applications.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed.

Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-14 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-18 of U.S. Patent No. 6,652,398 in view of Smith '367. The '398 patent claims the claimed device with the exception of specifying it is constructed as a tape. However, as disclosed by Smith it is known in the art to configure grips as a tape. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have configured the '398 grip as a tape as well if it was desired to apply the grip in that manner. Regarding the various recited implements the '398 tape would obviously have been suitable for use in each of these applications where grips are commonly known to be used.

Claims 1-14 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-18 of copending Application No. 10/659,560 claims 1-21 of copending Application No. 10/659,790, and claims 1-25 of copending Application No 10/659,674. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because vibration absorbent padded material, vibration absorbent material, and vibration absorbent grips would obviously have been suitable to form vibration absorbent tape which the examiner takes official notice of is commonly made of vibration absorbent materials.

Art Unit: 3711

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Rousseau, Kwitek, Brown, Jr. et al., Dawn et al., Barna, Walla et al., and Vaz have been cited for interest because they disclose similar materials.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Mark S. Graham at telephone number 703-308-1355.

MSG 4/26/04

Mark S. Graham Mark S. Grahaminer